Riazantseva Polina Andreevna
student
Riazantseva Daria Andreevna
student
Shipil' Daria Denisovna
student
Kravchenko Sofia Antonovna
student
Letuchaia Sofia Romanovna
student
Kostenko Alina Nikolaevna
student
Scientific adviser
Sharapova Olga Vladimirovna
assistant of the department

MIREA – Russian Technological University
Moscow

THE THOUGHTFUL CLASSROOM: UNLOCKING DEEP LEARNING IN THE EARLY YEAR

Abstract: *this article analyzes integrated early childhood education practices that move beyond binary debates about academic acceleration and unstructured free play. Drawing on developmental science, the paper identifies five interconnected habits of effective educational practice: intentionality without rigidity, play as serious inquiry, the environment as a silent curriculum, observation as a teaching tool, and relationships as the foundation of all learning. The article concludes that the most effective educators cultivate a thoughtful, responsive, and emotionally safe classroom ecosystem.*

Keywords: *early childhood education, play-based pedagogy, developmentally appropriate practice, social-emotional learning, formative observation, reflective teaching, preschool quality.*

Рязанцева Полина Андреевна
студентка
Рязанцева Дарья Андреевна
студентка
Шипиль Дарья Денисовна
студентка
Кравченко Софья Антоновна
студентка
Летучая Софья Романовна
студентка
Костенко Алина Николаевна
студентка
Научный руководитель
Шарапова Ольга Владимировна
ассистент кафедры

ФГБОУ ВО «МИРЭА – Российский технологический университет»
г. Москва

ДУМАЮЩИЙ КЛАСС: УГЛУБЛЕННОЕ ОБУЧЕНИЕ В НАЧАЛЕ УЧЕБНОГО ГОДА

Аннотация: *в статье анализируются интегрированные практики дошкольного образования, выходящие за рамки бинарных дискуссий об академическом ускорении и свободной игре. На основе науки о развитии выделены пять взаимосвязанных привычек эффективной образовательной практики: интенциональность без жёсткости, игра как серьёзное исследование, среда как молча-*

ливый учебный план, наблюдение как инструмент обучения, отношения как основа обучения. Делается вывод, что лучшие педагоги создают продуманную, отзывчивую и эмоционально безопасную экосистему класса.

Ключевые слова: *дошкольное образование, игровая педагогика, практика, соответствующая возрасту, социально-эмоциональное обучение, формирующее наблюдение, рефлексивное преподавание, качество дошкольного образования.*

Introduction. What distinguishes a truly effective preschool classroom from one that merely keeps children busy? Superficial indicators – colourful walls, abundant toys, smiling teachers – can mislead. Two classrooms with identical budgets, curricula, and child-to-teacher ratios can produce dramatically different outcomes in children's confidence, cooperation, and curiosity. The difference lies not in visible resources but in underlying pedagogical practices.

For decades, early childhood research has accumulated evidence about what works. Yet this evidence often reaches practitioners as fragmented checklists or dogmatic slogans ("play is enough", "academics come first"). This article synthesises the evidence into a coherent framework of five core practices. Each practice is explored through its rationale, real-world application, and common pitfalls. The goal is to equip educators and administrators with a usable map of high-quality early education that respects both developmental science and the unpredictable, joyful reality of life with young children.

1. *Practice one: Intentionality without rigidity.*

Effective early childhood teaching is neither laissez-faire nor scripted. The most skilful educators practise what has been called «intentionality» – they have clear learning goals and think carefully about how to achieve them, yet they remain flexible enough to follow a child's spontaneous interest. This is the opposite of two common but ineffective approaches: the rigid lesson plan that proceeds regardless of children's engagement, and the purely reactive approach where adults simply supervise without guiding.

An intentional teacher sets up the morning with a purpose: “Today, I want to see who can sustain collaborative block building for ten minutes, because last week most children gave up after three”. She then observes, intervenes lightly (“What if you tried a wider base?”), and adjusts tomorrow’s plan based on what she sees. She does not force every child to build; some may paint or sort shells. But she keeps a mental thread of development running through the free choices.

Importantly, intentionality in early years looks different from direct instruction in older grades. It is soft, indirect, and often playful. A teacher who wants to support counting does not drill flashcards; she adds a counting rhyme to morning circle, sets out five cups with five spoons at snack time, and asks “how many blocks tall is your tower?” during play. The goal is achieved without coercion. Rigidity – insisting that every child complete the same worksheet – destroys the very engagement that makes learning stick.

2. *Practice two: Play as serious inquiry.*

Perhaps no topic in early childhood education generates more confusion than play. Some educators treat play as a reward for completing «real work». Others romanticise play as always and automatically educational. The effective practice lies in the middle: play is the primary context for deep learning, but only when it is supported, extended, and occasionally guided by a knowledgeable adult.

Free play – children choosing their own activity without adult direction – offers essential benefits. During free play, children negotiate roles, resolve conflicts, regulate impulses, and invent symbolic representations (a block becomes a phone; a stick becomes a spoon). These are foundational cognitive and social skills. However, free play alone rarely produces new academic or conceptual learning without some adult scaffolding.

This is where guided play enters. In guided play, the adult sets up a scenario with an embedded learning goal but allows children to direct the action. For example, a teacher who wants children to understand buoyancy might place a tub of water, several small objects, and a few toy boats at the water table, then ask: “I wonder which things will float and which will sink?” She does not lecture; she invites prediction and testing.

Children learn the concept through their own actions, with the teacher acting as a gentle provocateur.

The ineffective alternative is to replace play entirely with seated academic tasks. Research consistently shows that children in play-rich but cognitively supportive preschools outperform peers from academic-drill preschools on measures of executive function, reading comprehension, and even mathematics by third grade. Play is not the opposite of learning; it is learning's natural habitat in early childhood.

3. *Practice three: the environment as a silent curriculum.*

Every preschool classroom teaches something, whether the teacher intends it or not. A room where all materials are locked away and children must ask for everything teaches helplessness. A room with broken toys and chaotic clutter teaches that this place is not worthy of care. Conversely, a thoughtfully arranged environment teaches independence, calm, and respect.

Effective educators treat the physical space as what Reggio Emilia educators call "the third teacher" – after the parent and the classroom teacher. This means designing every corner with intention. The book corner is soft, well-lit, and contains only a manageable number of books displayed face-out to invite browsing. The art shelf holds real tools (scissors, glue, tape, recycled paper) at child height, organised in labelled containers so children can access and return materials without adult help. The block area has enough floor space for three children to build without colliding, and a low shelf stores blocks by shape, which indirectly teaches classification.

Critically, the effective environment changes. It responds to children's current interests and questions. If children become fascinated by insects, a teacher might add magnifying glasses, plastic bugs embedded in clear resin, simple field guides with photographs, and drawing paper near the window where real bugs might land. The environment becomes a provocation – an invitation to wonder.

The least effective classrooms are those frozen in time: the same posters, the same plastic toys, the same unchanging arrangement month after month. Young children need novelty within predictable structure. The environment should whisper, not shout; it should offer choices without overwhelming. Natural light, real plants, wood rather

than plastic, and displays of children's own work all signal that this is a place where thoughtful activity happens.

4. *Practice four: observation as a teaching tool.*

Teaching young children without observing them is like navigating without a map. Yet many early childhood programmes rely on published assessments administered twice a year, missing the daily flux of development. Effective practice embeds observation into the rhythm of every session.

Observation in this context does not mean formal testing. It means watching carefully, taking brief mental or written notes, and using those notes to make decisions. A teacher might notice that a particular child always avoids the art area. Instead of forcing that child to paint, she wonders: is the child afraid of mess? Does she lack fine-motor confidence? Would she engage if offered clay instead of brushes? The observation generates a hypothesis, which leads to an adjusted invitation, which leads to further observation.

Written documentation can take many forms, from sticky notes ("Leo – built stable 6-block tower, counted to 6 correctly") to learning stories – short narrative descriptions of a child's engagement ("Today, when the hamster escaped, Maria organised three friends into a search party, showing leadership and persistence"). These records are not for grading children; they are for understanding children.

The most effective educators share these observations with children themselves ("I saw you help Jamal when he fell – that was kind") and with families ("This week, your daughter spent 20 minutes observing the caterpillar – she asked three thoughtful questions about its legs"). Sharing transforms observation from surveillance into collaboration.

Ineffective practice relies on rigid checklists completed during nap time, disconnected from daily teaching decisions. Even worse is the absence of any systematic observation, where teachers rely on memory alone – inevitably biased and incomplete. Observation is not an add-on; it is the engine of responsive teaching.

5. *Practice five: relationships as the foundation of all learning.*

The most rigorously designed curriculum will fail in a classroom where children feel unsafe, unseen, or disrespected. Effective early childhood education prioritises relationships at three levels: child-teacher, child-child, and teacher-family.

The child-teacher relationship begins with attachment. Young children learn best from adults they trust. This trust is built through small, consistent actions: greeting each child by name at the door, noticing a new haircut or a favourite shirt, responding gently to upsets, and keeping promises. A teacher who shouts, uses sarcasm, or applies arbitrary punishments destroys trust and, with it, the possibility of deep learning.

Child-child relationships require deliberate cultivation. Many preschoolers lack the skills to negotiate sharing, enter play, or handle exclusion. Effective teachers teach these skills explicitly, not by lecturing but by modelling and coaching. When two children fight over a truck, an effective teacher does not simply confiscate it. She sits with them: “You both want the red truck. That’s hard. What are three ways we could solve this?” She helps them generate turns, timers, or parallel play. Over time, children internalise these scripts and need less adult intervention.

Teacher-family relationships are often treated as secondary, but they predict child outcomes as strongly as in-class variables. Families are experts on their own children. Effective educators learn from them: “Tell me about bedtime. What helps your child fall asleep?” “What does she love to talk about at dinner?” This is not mere politeness; it is essential data. Furthermore, when families feel respected, they send their children to school regulated and ready. When families feel judged or ignored, children sense the tension.

Ineffective programmes treat families as obstacles or as passive recipients of information. They send newsletters no one reads and hold events no one attends, then blame families for “lack of involvement”. Effective practice meets families where they are – offering evening and virtual meetings, providing translation, and, above all, listening more than talking.

Why these five practices work together.

No single practice from the five outlined above is sufficient on its own. Intentionality without relationship becomes manipulation. Play without observation becomes

chaos. Environment without intentionality becomes decoration. The power lies in their integration.

Consider a typical morning in a classroom guided by these five practices. The teacher has arranged the room (environment as curriculum) with a new provocation – a basket of pine cones, magnifying glasses, and drawing paper. She greets each child by name (relationship). During free play, she observes that two children are trying to build a ramp for toy cars but failing repeatedly (observation). Instead of fixing it for them, she sits down and asks, "What have you tried so far?" (intentionality without rigidity). They explain. She asks, "What would happen if you raised the top of the ramp?" They try. It works. They laugh. The learning is real, social, and memorable (play as serious inquiry). At pickup, she shows a quick video to a parent: "Look what your son solved today!" (relationship with family). The five practices are not sequential steps; they are simultaneous habits of mind.

Barriers and realistic implementation.

Acknowledging the ideal is necessary; pretending implementation is easy is dishonest. Many preschool teachers face large class sizes, insufficient planning time, pressure from administrators or parents for visible «academic products», and limited training in observation-based pedagogy.

Overcoming these barriers requires systemic change, but individual educators can take incremental steps. A teacher in a crowded classroom can still greet each child by name at the door – that costs no time and no money. A teacher with no planning period can spend the last five minutes of nap writing three brief observation notes. A teacher facing parental pressure for worksheets can send home a simple handout: «Why your child playing with blocks is building math skills». Small shifts accumulate.

Programme-level change is harder but essential. Effective directors protect play, resist standardised testing, provide coverage for observation time, and educate families through workshops and newsletters. The most successful preschools treat the five practices not as optional extras but as non-negotiable principles.

Conclusion.

The search for effective educational practices in early childhood is not a search for a secret formula. There is no single curriculum, no magic set of toys, no app that guarantees deep learning. What works, across diverse contexts and populations, is a particular stance: thoughtful, observant, relational, playful, and intentional. The educator who masters these habits does not need a perfect script. She needs the courage to trust children, the patience to watch carefully, and the humility to keep learning alongside the young humans in her care.

The thoughtful classroom is not the quietest classroom or the one with the neatest handwriting on display. It is the room where a child builds a tower, watches it fall, and says, "Let me try a wider base." It is the room where a teacher kneels to ask, "What are you wondering?" It is the room where learning feels like living. That is effectiveness worth pursuing.

References

1. A comparison of stress behaviors in developmentally appropriate and inappropriate kindergarten classrooms / D.C. Burts, C.H. Hart, R. Charlesworth, L. Kirk // Early Childhood Research Quarterly. – 1992. – Vol. 7. No. 2. – P. 207–222.

2. Epstein J.L. School, family, and community partnerships: Preparing educators and improving schools / J.L. Epstein. – Westview Press, 2018.

3. Goldstein L.S. Developmentally appropriate practice: A critical analysis / L.S. Goldstein // Early Childhood Education Journal. – 2018. – Vol. 46. No. 5. – P. 477–484.

4. Heckman J.J. The economics of inequality: The value of early childhood education / J.J. Heckman // American Educator. – 2011. – Vol. 35. No. 1. – P. 31–35.

5. National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC). Developmentally appropriate practice in early childhood programs serving children from birth through age 8. – 3rd ed. – 2009.

6. Schweinhart L.J. The High/Scope Perry Preschool study through age 40 / L.J. Schweinhart. – High/Scope Press, 2005.

7. Vygotsky L.S. Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes / L.S. Vygotsky. – Harvard University Press, 1978.

8. Whitebread D. The role of play in children's development / D. Whitebread // Journal of Play. – 2017. – Vol. 9. No. 2. – P. 187–202.